



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov  
DHW 04-05

ROBERT OSANN, JR.  
10494 ANN ARBOR AVE.  
CUPERTINO CA 95014

**COPY MAILED**

**APR 08 2005**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of :  
Robert Osann :  
Application No. 10/621,957 : ON PETITION  
Filed: 16 July, 2003 :  
For: REPROGRAMMABLE INSTRUCTION DSP :

This is a decision on the petition under 1.137(b),<sup>1</sup> filed on 1 November, 2004, to revive the above-identified application. The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned on 18 December, 2003, for failure to timely reply to the Notice to File Corrected Application Papers mailed on 17 October, 2003, which set a two

---

<sup>1</sup>Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

(2) month shortened period for reply. No extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 21 October, 2004.

Petitioner has submitted replacement claim pages as the required reply.

The application file is being referred to the Office of Initial Patent Examination for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3231.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "D. I. Wood".

Douglas I. Wood  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions